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Background: Operative laparoscopy was performed for the management of ovarian remnant syndrome involving the bladder, bowel, vagina, and ureters, and requiring extensive dissection. A vesicovaginal fistula developed postoperatively.

Case: Because of the complexity and location of the fistula, a vaginal approach was not appropriate. Using techniques of videolaparoscopy, videocystoscopy, and operative laparoscopy, the fistula was repaired.

Conclusion: In experienced hands, endoscopic management of complex vesicovaginal fistulas may be an alternative to the traditional abdominal approach. (Obstet Gynecol 1994;83:899–901)

Vesicovaginal fistulas may be treated by various surgical techniques, depending on their etiology and location and the surgeon's specialty. Small, simple vesicovaginal fistulas unresponsive to nonsurgical management are usually easy to repair. Generally, the edges of the fistula are removed and the defect is closed. Latzko's technique is the most commonly used vaginal approach. However, complex fistulas often have significant structural changes in the perifistular tissue and may be close to the bladder neck or urethral meatus. Lee et al recommended an abdominal approach for the following indications: 1) inadequate exposure because of a high or retracted fistula in a narrow vagina, 2) proximity of the fistula to the ureter, 3) associated pelvic pathology, and 4) multiple fistulas. In complicated cases, a combined transabdominal and transvaginal approach has been recommended.

We report laparoscopic repair of a vesicovaginal fistula following laparoscopic treatment of ovarian remnant syndrome, which required resection of portions of the bladder, bowel, and vagina, and extensive ureterolysis. In this case, the fistula was close to the ureter and high in the bladder, and the woman had a narrow vagina.

Case Report

A 45-year-old woman underwent laparoscopic excision of an ovarian remnant. This required extensive bilateral ureterolysis, and resection and repair of a 2-cm portion of the rectosigmoid colon. It was also necessary to remove a 1.5–2-cm portion of the posterior bladder wall, which was repaired laparoscopically as previously described.

Postoperatively, the patient developed a vesicovaginal fistula. She presented with a watery discharge from the vagina. No fistula was seen on speculum examination, but it was suspected based on vaginal tampon and blue dye test. Cystogram and intravenous pyelogram demonstrated a posterior vesicovaginal fistula projected from the upper third of the bladder, with no extravasation from the ureters. Cystoscopy revealed a vesicovaginal fistula approximately 1 cm in diameter located posterior and superior to the trigone, left of the midline. The fistula was quite high and could not be reached by a finger in the vagina. We attempted to manage this fistula conservatively by inserting a Foley catheter and prescribing an antibiotic. However, after 3 weeks of conservative management, a cystogram confirmed that the fistula had not resolved.

Different options were discussed with the patient. Prolonged expectant management was not acceptable to her. Because of the complexity, location, and condition of the fistula, a vaginal approach was not appropriate. We offered a transabdominal approach by laparoscopy, following the same principles as laparotomy. She was told of our experience with laparoscopy, the possibility of conversion to laparotomy, the experimental nature of the procedure, and the advantages and disadvantages of both procedures. After consulting one other gynecologist and two urologists, she chose the laparoscopic approach over a laparotomy, possibly combined with a vaginal approach. She was aware that, to our knowledge, this would be the first attempt to manage this type of fistula laparoscopically.

Two weeks later (12 weeks postoperatively), videolaparoscopy was performed as previously described. We followed as closely as possible the principles outlined by Moir for fistula repair: 1) suitable equipment and lighting, 2) adequate exposure during the operation, 3) excision of fibrous tissue from the edges of the fistula, 4) approximation of edges without tension, 5) use of suitable suture material, and 6) efficient postoperative bladder drainage.

In summary, one 10-mm infraumbilical incision was made to insert the videolaparoscope coupled with the CO2 laser. Three 5-mm incisions were made in the lower abdomen to introduce the suction-irrigator probe, grasping forceps, and bipolar forceps. A thorough abdominal and pelvic cavity evaluation revealed many fewer adhesions in this area than were noted during the previous laparoscopy. Adhesions around the bladder dome and posterior cul-de-sac were easily lysed. A simultaneous cystoscopy was performed, and both ureters were catheterized to help identify and protect them during excision and closure of the fistula. The fistula was readily identified in the posterior bladder wall superior to the trigone (Figure 1). A ureteral catheter was pulled...
through the fistula into the vagina to facilitate identification during excision.

A digital rectovaginal examination was performed to exclude rectal involvement. The bladder was severely adherent to the vagina over the apex. Using the CO$_2$ laser, an opening was made in the vagina away from the bladder and rectum. An inflated glove in the vagina helped maintain pneumoperitoneum.

Using a grasping forceps, we elevated the anterior vaginal wall and easily identified the fistula with the help of the catheter, which also served as a landmark for the posterior bladder wall. The bladder was filled with water, and using the CO$_2$ laser through the laparoscope, a cystotomy was performed above the fistula. The water was evacuated as the bladder was distended by the pneumoperitoneum from the cystotomy. The fistula tract, vesicovaginal space, and ureters were easily observed laparoscopically (Figure 2). Under direct observation, the vesicovaginal space was developed laparoscopically using the CO$_2$ laser and hydrodissection. The bladder was freed posteriorly from the vaginal wall (Figure 3). The bladder fistula was identified, held with a grasping forceps, and excised using the CO$_2$ laser (Figure 4). Adequate bladder dissection and mobilization were performed to eliminate tension upon suturing.

Both the vagina and bladder were closed laparoscopically.

First, the vaginal wall opening of approximately 1.5 cm was repaired with one layer of interrupted polyglactin suture (Figure 5). The bladder was then repaired with one layer of four interrupted 1-0 endoknot Vicryl sutures (Ethicon, Somerville, NJ) using extracorporeal knotting. This allowed closure of the vagina and bladder in separate planes. A thorough evaluation was performed to ensure complete hemostasis of the vesicovaginal space and fistula area. A peritoneal flap was obtained superior and lateral to the bladder dome close to the round ligament and diverted toward the bladder base. The flap was used to separate the vesicovaginal space, and it was secured with two interrupted Vicryl sutures. The dissected peritoneal area was left to heal secondarily. No intraperitoneal drainage was used. The operative time was 85 minutes including the cystoscopic portion, and total blood loss was less than 100 mL. Following the procedure, a suprapubic catheter was inserted and the ureteral catheters were removed.

The following morning, the patient was discharged. Prophylactic antibiotics and conjugated estrogen tablets were prescribed. She was instructed to return in 2 weeks. On postoperative day 10, the Foley catheter became clogged and was removed. A cystogram was performed, and there was no evidence of fistula. Following the surgery, the patient was
Addendum
Since submitting this case report, we have managed two additional vesicovaginal fistulas, both following abdominal hysterectomy performed elsewhere. The repairs were accomplished as described above with good results.
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